

Leadership and Sustainable Development in Africa: A Review of Challenges, Precepts and Possibilities

Folakemi OHUNAKIN¹

folakemi.ohunakin@trinityuniversity.edu.ng

Corresponding Author

Samuel Seyilola OLAWALE²

olawaleseyi5@gmail.com

Edidiong Samuel AKPABIO³

edidiong.akpabio@trinityuniversity.edu.ng

¹Department of Management Sciences Trinity University, Sabo, Yaba, Lagos State, Nigeria.

³Political Science Department, Trinity University, Sabo, Yaba, Lagos State, Nigeria.

²Tai-Solarin University of Education, Ijebu, Ogun State, Nigeria.

ABSTRACT

This article examines the connection between leadership and sustainable development, by examining the foundational precepts, key challenges, and future possibilities in Africa. This paper uses integrative review as a research method to generalize different ideas regarding the nexus between leadership and sustainable development in Africa. Integrative review pools and synthesizes facts, information and arguments from a broad range of sources. This review uses extant literature pertaining to the subject matter with an inclusion and exclusion guiding criteria for the selection of materials, usage of relevant and recent materials. High impact data bases such as Scopus, Google Scholar, amongst others were accessed for relevant materials. The theoretical foundation of this research lies within the Institutional Theory, which is a strong analytical tool which transcends the market-based orthodoxy in order to look at the role of formal and informal rules of the game and determine the achievement of sustainable development in Africa. Also, the three pillars of the sociological perspective which are regulatory, normative, and cultural-cognitive offer a structural framework of how to comprehend stability and change. This review finds that the existing dependence on Western-oriented, individualistic leadership paradigms is inadequate to cope with the unstable, unpredictable, complex, and ambiguous (VUCA) conditions of the continent. Relatively, a vital path to social equity and economic resilience requires an adaptive architecture of power that is collective, pluralistic that is well grounded in practices such as Ubuntu, which is one of our indigenous ethical practices. This study concludes by recommending an integrative framework that aligns leadership practices with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), advocating for institutional reforms, interventions for leadership development, and policy coherence as pathways to attaining sustainable development in Africa.

Keywords: *Leadership, Sustainable Development, Challenges, Precepts, Possibilities*

1. INTRODUCTION

The inherent connection between a robust leadership quality and the successful actualization of sustainable development goals is a commonly recognized paradigm, which is, however, one of the most disputed frontiers in the modern African political economy. This is not only an academic relationship but an existential relationship in a dynamic socio-economic environment of the continent. The current developmental path in Africa is informed by ambitious frameworks, the most notable being Agenda 2063 by the African Union, and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), both of which insist on the change in the status quo-based governance to transformative leadership frameworks (Duma & Mashimbye, 2025). Nevertheless, the way towards these aims is hindered by a triple menace of continual deficits of governance, colonial history of interference, and an incapacitating dependence on foreign economic conditions (Akpan & Uford, 2024; Uzoigwe, 2025).

The pressing need to shift the paradigm to the institutionalized, ethical, and accountable systems of

governance is central to this discussion, where the highly centralized, and in many cases, autocratic personalization of power is being used by the so-called Big Man politics (Kong, 2025). Although the symptomatic attributes of leadership failure have been long diagnosed in Africa, there is an implausible gap in the existing literature on synthesizing the ways in which an adaptive architecture of power is maneuvered through volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous (VUCA) environments that characterize many African polities (Akoto, Akoto, & Bawole, 2023).

This paper offers an integrative review on the multifaceted crises that are impeding effective leadership toward sustainable development in the continent. It seeks to go beyond a simple list of collapses and discusses the theoretical principles behind effective structures, promoting a shift to diffuse, pluralistic, and collective leadership instead of being represented by a single heroic figure (Chiome, 2024). An important input of this review is that it focuses on incorporating indigenous leadership strategies. Providing alternative developmental sources based on the legitimacy of traditional values and communal welfare, these models are an effective proposal against the imposition of externally oriented Western frameworks that have traditionally ignored the localized systems of knowledge (Olaopa and Ogundare, 2023; Bukusi, Korir, & Musya, 2023).

Moreover, this paper outlines how genuine leadership, based on transparency, self-awareness, and ethical values, can be a driver of economic development paths (Heim, Laker, & Tabaeifard, 2026). Having combined the literature on the post-independence period of the 1960s, through to the modern digital age, the review finds that there exist important grey areas in research on organizational leadership (Enslin and Grobler, 2025). In conclusion, this article posits that to make sustainable development a reality, Africa needs to transcend the obstinate nature of its culture and political inertia, instead of encouraging a facilitative form of leadership that will be socially acceptable, ecologically sound and fundamentally Afrocentric.

2. METHODOLOGY

This paper uses integrative review as a research method to generalize different ideas regarding the nexus between leadership and sustainable development in Africa. Unlike a strictly systematic review, integrative approach enables the integration of diverse research designs such as theoretical frameworks, empirical studies, and policy analyses. According to Russell, (2005), integrative review enables researchers to evaluate gaps and ascertain the strength of existing literature. It is one of the major instruments for knowledge advancement (Elsbach & van Knippenberg, 2020). Integrative review pools and synthesizes facts, information and arguments from a broad range of sources (Bonham-Corcoran, Armstrong, O'Briain, Cassidy, & Turner, 2022). This review aligns with the assertion of Priester, Browne, Iachini, Clone, DeHart, and Seay, (2016) by using extant literature pertaining to the subject matter with an inclusion and exclusion guiding criteria for the selection of materials, usage of relevant and recent materials. High impact data bases such as Scopus, Google Scholar, amongst others were accessed for relevant materials. This type of methodology will ensure that the findings are technically credible, and also be based on ethics regarding the collective good and unique institutional realities of the African context.

Conceptual Precepts

The realisation of sustainable development in Africa requires a stringent re-examination of the theoretical frameworks which informs leadership on the continent. Historically, Western-centric paradigms, which tend to be organized and regulated, as well as individualistic hero-leaders, have been the mainstay of prevailing paradigms, which often put financial gain and codified regulations above community interests (Lerutla, & Styne, 2025; Nkobi, Chikezie, & Wazha, 2021). Nevertheless, these general theories are usually applied to African societies without taking into account their unique cultural values and historical paths that shape the area, which creates a great discrepancy between the expectations of leadership and the needs of society (Bukusi et al., 2023). This has increasingly led to the call to shift towards indigenous Afrocentric strategies that radically transform organizational forms to suit the distinctive socio-economic conditions of the continent (Makhalima, 2026; Uzoigwe, 2025).

At the core of this Afrocentricism lies the Ubuntu philosophy, a humanism-based and collective consciousness philosophy that holds that we are I. In contrast to Western paradigms of ethics, based on individualized reasoning, Ubuntu leadership previews a collective accountability, interdependence, and shared

personhood (Ferri, Pedrini, & Minciullo, 2021; Pérezts, Russon, & Painter-Morland, 2023). This change substitutes the only heroic figure with a facilitative approach which is sensitive to stakeholder opinions, and which is by definition collective in its decision-making activities (Chiome, 2024). Ubuntu provides an effective developmental alternative, refusing the exploitative hierarchies and overcoming the development of strict classes in favour of the solidarity of all human beings by prioritizing the dignity of all people in human relationships (Kumeh & Ramcilovic-Suominen, 2023).

Moreover, the incorporation of such ethical systems in the leadership of the publics is important in promoting the national unity and social justice that are essential to long-term stability (Sipondo, 2025). Good governance in this regard requires a shift to participatory patterns according to which leadership is perceived as a social service, but not an instrument of individual profit (Laloo, 2022). Such natural solidarity and empathy are especially crucial to curbing unethical conduct and combating endemic issues like systemic corruption, which offers a basis on which governance is technically sound but ethically based on the collective good (Bhana & Suknunan, 2022).

After all, to transform the conventional environmentalism into a path of economic sustainability and social justice, these indigenous philosophies need to be institutionalized (Duma & Mashimbye 2025). These systems are not usually included in the current legislative frameworks, which destroys their potential to create an atmosphere of accountability in the field of public administration (Musekiwa, Masiya, & Lubinga, 2025). A strategic paradigm shift is needed to entrench ideas of inclusivity and empathy into the leadership development programs and institutional policies (Makhanya, 2025; Sipondo, 2025). Through the creation of spaces in which mutual understanding and shared principles fuel the process of development, African countries can create a more holistic approach towards various viewpoints, making development socially acceptable and environmentally sustainable (Kupangwa, 2024; Olaopa and Ogundare, 2023).

Theoretical Framework

The theoretical foundation of this research lies within the Institutional Theory, which is a strong analytical tool which transcends the market-based orthodoxy in order to look at the role of formal and informal rules of the game and determine the achievement of sustainable development in Africa. In this review, the sociological perspective advocated by W. Richard Scott (2014) is particularly adapted, whose three pillars of the sociological perspective regulatory, normative, and cultural-cognitive offer a structural framework of how to comprehend stability and change. The framework incorporates the findings of other researchers such as Nwankwo and Richards (2004) and Diagne (2018) by admitting that sustainable development cannot be fulfilled solely by the imports of transplanted Western models, but it would also need reconciliation with the institution of the indigenous arrangements to achieve the realization of authentic self-sufficiency.

The specific assumption that this theory makes is that the behaviour of leadership is not the result of rational efficiency but rather very much entrenched in its institutional setting. It argues that businesses tend to focus on the idea of legitimacy, rather than actual performance, which results in the state of institutional decoupling of formal governance systems based on ceremonial compliance to the needs of external assistance agencies (Seiko, 2018). In the context of Africa, insistence on formal mechanisms can often be by-passed by institutional layering, in which established informal social networks and kinship pressures have an overall and often prevailing effect on governance results and resource distribution (Barnard, Cuervo-Cazurra, & Manning, 2017; Diagne, 2018).

Although it has a strong diagnostic potential, critics of an entirely universalistic institutional approach state that traditional frameworks do not consider the special constraints of new African economies, including the extreme vulnerability to climate changes or the intricacies of the small island developing states (Andrade, 2026; Gwangwava, Sithole, & Nemashakwe. 2026). Moreover, a lot of the available business diagnostics are ineffective since they examine structural and governance issues separately without capturing the misfit of imported formal mechanisms and local socio-cultural practices (Mwambene, Kipanga, & Mwinyimkuu, 2002). Such a critique will require a diagnostic logic that correlates formal and informal pillars with key governance functions to identify the underlying cause of continued underperformance (Diagne, 2018).

Practically, this paper applies the Institutional Theory as a multi-level diagnostic matrix to determine the key leverage points towards sustainable development at a macro, and micro level. At the macro-structural level,

the theory establishes the impact of the weak enforcement and debt burdens which produces a short-termism, which weakens long-term SDG monitoring (Mensah-Bonsu, Asante, Ofori, & Ampofo., 2023). It is a systematic micro-organizational level model that formal accountability systems are avoided in favour of informal networks in a strategic preference due to concentrated ownership and insider control (often above 70% in African companies) (Adeyemi and Okonkwo, 2018).

The Landscape of Challenges

The African pathway to sustainable development is now stuck at a crossroads, with the future of radical innovation meeting with the vested systemic constraints (Ogwu, 2025). Such a multifaceted terrain is mainly delimited by an implementation gap, wherein the overheated rhetoric of the developmental structures does not find its way into the real world because there is a basic discontinuity between policy and practice. At the heart of this breakdown is the existence of neopatrimonialism and systemic corruption, which is not just present though governance, but is actively enhancing it (Bach, & Gazibo 2013; Akpan et al., 2024). Such political ailments compromise the institutional structures to achieve economic and environmental development, practically displacing resources to sustainable projects and exposing countries to the lack of governance (Mangani, Khana, & Ahmad 2025).

The institutional weakness is further enhanced by strong structural impediments that limit fiscal and analytical scope in the continent. Among them is the mortgaging debt loads and a great lack of solid data needed to track Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) progress. As they lack the funding capacity to invest and the measurement instruments to gauge impact, the strategic frameworks such as those of the African Union in the form of democratic process initiatives are still largely wishful (Inieke, 2021). Such absence of accountability procedures and political intention turns good policies into the figurative changes, which contribute to a loop where conceptualization seldom turns into effective implementation.

In addition to these systemic failures, the state of affairs is also complicated by an underlying conflict between the traditional leadership functions and needs of a new democratic governance. Western individualistic and materialistic dreams are often reflected in the current leadership models across the continent, and they often conflict with the indigenous values and the interests of a community (Pérezts et al., 2023). The most obvious cultural discrepancy is in the form of the so-called sit-tight syndrome and prioritization of self-interest over the common good, which define the lack of ethical leadership in the present. The vulnerability of climate and the instability of the region tend to intensify such behaviours and make them a threat multiplier factor, pushing leaders into reactive modes of managing crisis, which further marginalizes the sustainability goals of the long term (Gwangwava, Sithole, & Nemashakwe 2026).

The conclusion of these overlapping troubles reveals a pressing need to shift to a new paradigm of abandoning the appropriated Western interventions to more pluralistic, facilitative, and Afrocentric ones (Bukusi et al., 2023; Chiome, 2024). Restructuring the idea of leadership by viewing it through the prism of the traditional African philosophies, i.e., Ubuntu, one can hope to close the rift between policy aspirations and their actualisation (Olaopa & Ogundare, 2023; Duma & Mashimbye 2025). The relational ethics and community health-based leadership is a culturally consistent way of reducing corruption and rebuilding trust in the government (Sipondo, 2025). Finally, change to the state of socially acceptable and environmentally friendly transformative change across the continent can only be achieved through promoting an atmosphere of ethical governance and shared responsibility (Ikram, and Boudraa, 2025).

Review of Current Possibilities and Emerging Trends

The paradigm shift of new opportunities out of the challenges of history is characterized by the active change in the leadership paradigm with the advent of new technologies that are coinciding with new social structures to create inclusive and sustainable models (Kittichat, 2024). The key element of this development is the synergistic effect of digital transformation which presupposes a goal-oriented and cooperative way of leadership in different industries (Gadi & Govani, 2024; Kanda & Joshi, 2025). With the integration of megatrends like Artificial Intelligence (AI), Machine Learning (ML), and blockchain in governance, the need to make ethical decisions and integrate all in the process of innovation increases, and global cooperation in policy formulation becomes essential to guarantee long-term well-being of the planet (Uford & Akpan, 2024; Uford,

2026; Asrifan, 2026).

The increase in youth-led mobilization and intersectional justice movements is the most apparent change in this digital shift as these movements use digital activism to develop decentralized networks that bring about radical social and political transformation (Kazanskaia, 2025). At the same time, e-government projects are leveraging the technological infrastructure to automate the administrative functions, which literally cuts the technical bottlenecks that have traditionally caused challenges in the delivery of public services. To both corporate and political leaders, this requires the introduction of big data analytics and fintech into organizational ecosystems and the development of an innovation culture and sustainable growth at the same time (Bajwa, Fu, Bajwa, & Alsuhaibany, 2025).

The African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA) continues to drive such processes as a leadership-based developmental tool that is aimed at promoting economic integration and developing strong growth throughout the African continent (Mbugua, 2025). Using digital instruments to reinforce accountability mechanisms and perfect climate resilience strategies, these regional efforts help to create more sustainable developmental trajectories (Kazanskaia, 2025). But to manoeuvre such an interconnected landscape, a reconsideration of old paradigms is needed, focusing on agility and ethical foresight to deal with more digital workforces (Jay, 2024).

The key to the successful realization of these possibilities, in the end, is the development of ethical leadership that is based on values and prioritized health equity and social justice (Asuquo et al, 2024). Strategic leaders now have to balance technology integration with human-related concerns; and in this regard, any developments on AI and other edge technologies must serve the interest of humans instead of further widening the existing inequalities (Gabriel, 2025). In the African context, this holism is based on the need to build an ethical clarity and future-readiness and align the institutional culture with the principles of accountable governance and to exceed borrowed paradigm and create a socially acceptable and ecologically viable transformative change (Bergsteedt & Plessis, 2025; Lawani, 2025; Bukusi, et al., 2023).

Critical Discussion: Bridging the Gap

Synthesising existing literature demonstrates that the main impediment to sustainable development in Africa is not the absence of policy frameworks, but a long-term lack of congruence between the conceptualization and realistic implementation. Although the ambitious plans such as the agenda 2063 of the African Union and the SDGs of the UN offer a clear roadmap of development, they are often destabilized by a triple menace of lack of governance, historical colonialism, and debilitating reliance on external economic circumstances (Uzoigwe, 2025; Duma, & Mashimbye 2025). This breakdown is entrenched within the paradigm of the Big Man politics, where highly centralised and autocratic personalisation of power does not face institutionalised checks and balances (Kong, 2025). This centralization forms a strict governance system, which is not well-adapted to operate under the volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous (VUCA) environments that most African polities operate (Akoto, et al., 2023).

A re-examination indicates that these deep-seated challenges cannot be overcome unless there is a fundamental change in how leadership has been viewed as individualistic and heroic in nature instead of an adaptive architecture of power (Koçak, 2026). This kind of architecture is naturally decentralized, pluralist, and participatory and enables a higher level of stability and connection to the community (Chiome, 2024). African countries have the potential to offer a formidable counterproposal to externally minded Western structures that have always neglected local knowledge-built systems by incorporating into their leadership approaches indigenous approaches, which offspring of traditional values and communal well-being lend credibility to this approach (Olaopa & Ogundare, 2023; Bukusi et al., 2023). This Afrocentric is not simply a denial of modern rule, but an attempt to base it on a relational ethic, in which a shared good outweighs the interests of the elite.

New approaches in digital governance (e-government) and youth-level grassroots mobilization present practicable solutions to avoid the old tradition of bureaucratic bottlenecks and promote accountability (Kazanskaia, 2025). The available technological opportunities, as well as the regional integration initiatives such as the AfCFTA, amongst others, have the synergistic influence that can promote sustainable growth (Mbugua, 2025). The positive effect of these emerging trends however lies within the nurture of Leadership Dividends in the digital conversion models of Rwanda or Botswana resource management. Such examples show

that leadership that is purposeful and institutionalized can be used to translate the policy aspirations into socially acceptable and ecologically positive transformative change (Bergsteed and Plessis, 2025; Gadi and Govani, 2024). The critical discussion, finally, emphasizes the fact that possibilities of African development depend on a paradigm of leadership that is futuristic but also rooted in the culture. Ethical clarity and relational approach should guide the integration of new advanced digital technologies, such as AI and blockchain, to make sure that they are used to the benefit of human welfare and do not contribute to the existing inequalities.

3. CONCLUSION AND POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

The synthesis of current literature demonstrates that the realization of sustainable development in Africa is essentially a leadership issue, which goes beyond technical policy implementation. Although the visionary outlook of such ambitious frameworks as Agenda 2063 and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals is a roadmap to follow, both are always stifled by the systemic decoupling of formal institutional regulation and informal governance practices, which is a product of the dominant approach of Big Men politics. This review finds that the existing dependence on Western-oriented, individualistic leadership paradigms is inadequate to cope with the unstable, unpredictable, complex, and ambiguous (VUCA) conditions of the continent. Relatively, a vital path to social equity and economic resilience requires an adaptive architecture of power that is collective, pluralistic that is well grounded in practices such as Ubuntu, which is one of our indigenous ethical practices.

This study findings also suggest the cross section between regional integration and digital transformation such as the AfCFTA offers unparalleled possibilities towards overcoming the traditional bureaucratic bottlenecks and enhance institutional accountability. Hitherto, these structural and technological changes can only work effectively if leadership will embrace relational values and communal interests over personal interest. On a final note, in a bid to promote sustainable development, a paradigm shift would have to be an actual paradigm shift in the very structure of African public administration that establishes ethical clarity and future readiness.

REFERENCES

- Adeyemi, A., & Okonkwo, C. (2018). *A theoretical framework for diagnosing structural and governance challenges in Nigerian enterprises (2000–2026)*. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18949183>
- Akoto, E. O., Akoto, E. V., & Bawole, J. N. (2023). Leadership capabilities for the 21st century development of Africa: A paradigm shift in political leadership for economic emancipation. In *Leadership for economic emancipation* (pp. 1–20). Emerald Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-80117-045-120231003>
- Akpan, E. D., Imo-Ita, I., & Ntuen, D. D. (2024). Fadama III Development Project and Sustainable Income of Rural Farmers in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria (2009–2022). *AKSU Journal of Administration and Corporate Governance*, 4(3), 219-234.
- Akpan, S. J., & Uford, I. C. (2024). Street Trading and Its Implications on Economic Development in Nigeria: A Literature Review. *Advance Journal of Economics and Marketing Research*, 9(7), 23-32.
- Andrade, M. da L. (2026). *A theoretical framework for sustainable enterprise governance in the Cape Verdean Archipelago, 2020–2026*. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18943392>.
- Asante, K., Mensah-Bonsu, K., & Ampofo, A. S. (2023). *Diagnosing misalignments: A multi-level analytical lens for enterprise performance in Africa*. Zenodo.
- Asrifan, A. (2026). Leading for Tomorrow: Sustainability in the Age of Technology. In L. Dall'Acqua & I. Gironacci (Eds.), *Navigating Complexity, AI, and Legal Challenges in Organizations* (pp. 95-122). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-2419-7.ch005>
- Asuquo, E. E., Akpan, E. D., & Ntuen, D. D. (2024). Economic Development and Sustainable Poverty Alleviation Policies in Nigeria. *AKSU Annals of Sustainable Development*, 2(1),
- Bach, D. C., and Gazibo, M. (2013). Neopatrimonialism in Africa and Beyond. ISBN: 9780203145623, DOI: [10.4324/9780203145623](https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203145623).
- Bajwa, F. A., Fu, J., Bajwa, I. A., and Alsuhaibany, Y. M. (2025). Corrigendum to “financing the future: The role of fintech, leadership, and financial competencies in driving sustainable firm performance”, *Acta*

- Psychologica*, 105449/260, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2025.105449>
- Barnard, H., Cuervo-Cazurra, A., & Manning, S. (2017). Africa business research as a laboratory for theory-building: Extreme conditions, new phenomena, and alternative paradigms of social relationships. *Management and Organization Review*, 13(3), 467–495. <https://doi.org/10.1017/mor.2017.34>
- Bergsteedt, B. J., & du Plessis, A. (2025). Reimagining transformation through strategic thinking and accountable governance in the South African public university system. *Higher Education*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-025-01517-w>
- Bhana, A., & Suknunan, S. (2022). The relationship between previous leadership theories and ethical leadership in a South African context: A narrative review. *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science*, 11(10), 85–98. <https://doi.org/10.20525/ijrbs.v11i10.2185>
- Bonham-Corcoran, M., Armstrong, A., O’Briain, A., Cassidy, A., & Turner, N. (2022). The benefits of nature-based therapy for the individual and the environment: an integrative review. *Irish Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 50(1), 16-27. DOI: [10.1108/IJOT-06-2021-0015](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOT-06-2021-0015)
- Bukusi, A. D. M., Korir, A. J., & Musya, J. K. (2023). How indigenous leadership research in Africa can inspire national prosperity. *Open Journal of Social Sciences*, 11(7), 134–150. <https://doi.org/10.4236/jss.2023.117010>
- Chiome, C. (2024). Reinventing leadership for sustainable development in Africa: Student perceptions of leadership in selected African countries. *AVRUG-Bulletin/Afrika Focus*, 37(2), 263–280. <https://doi.org/10.1163/2031356x-20240204>
- Diagne, A. (2018). *A theoretical framework for sustainable business governance: An institutional diagnostics approach in Southern Africa (2000–2026)*. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18943835>
- Duma, S. and Mashimbye, R. (2025). Security-Development Nexus in Africa: Progress Towards the Agenda 2063 and Sustainable Development Goals. UJ Press. ISBN: 9781997468233. DOI: [10.64449/9781997468233](https://doi.org/10.64449/9781997468233).
- Elsbach, K. D., & van Knippenberg, D. (2020). Creating high-impact literature reviews: An argument for ‘integrative reviews’. *Journal of management studies*, 57(6), 1277-1289. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12581>.
- Enslin, E., & Grobler, A. (2025). Organizational leadership in Africa: A structured review and suggestions for future research. *Organization and Human Capital Development*, 4(1), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.31098/orcadev.v4i1.2793>
- Ferri, L. M., Pedrini, M., & Minciullo, M. (2021). Corporate social responsibility and stakeholder dialogue under institutional voids: Decoupling the role of corporate motives, ethics, and resources. *Journal of Management & Governance*, 26(1), 159–188. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10997-021-09598-2>
- Gabriel, S. (2025). Ethical leadership in the age of artificial intelligence: A moral framework for sustainable development in Africa. *Wukari International Studies Journal*, 9(7), 168–185. <https://doi.org/10.64633/wissj.v9i7.13>
- Gadi, V., & Govani, P. (2024). Megatrends in leadership and technology across industries. In *Advances in business strategy and competitive advantage* (pp. 250–270). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-1946-8.ch013>
- Gwangwava, E., Sithole, S., & Nemashakwe, P. (2026). The role of leadership in promoting sustainable growth initiatives across Africa: A conceptual discussion. *International Journal of Management Studies and Social Science Research*, 6(3), 103–120. <https://doi.org/10.56293/ijmsssr.2026.6007>.
- Heim, P., Laker, B. and Tabaeifard, S. J. (2026). Responsible leadership: A systematic literature review, theoretical framework, and future research directions. *Journal of Business, Research*, 203 1-24 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2025.115801>
- Ikram, M. and Boudraa, C. (2025). The role of quality governance in achieving sustainable development goals in North Africa: An integrated decision-support system. *Sustainable Operations and Computers*, 6, 198-216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.susoc.2025.07.005>
- Inieke, O. (2021). *African Peer Review Mechanism: Reports on democratic processes and institutional governance*. African Union.

- Jay, A. D. (2024). Ethical leadership in global environmental crises. In *Advances in human resources management and organizational development* (pp. 83–100). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-3546-8.ch003>
- Kanda, S. B., & Joshi, A. (2025). Leadership paradigms for sustainable development. In *Advances in logistics, operations, and management science* (pp. 229–250). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-7175-6.ch010>
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Future trends in grassroots leadership: Digital activism, transnational solidarity, and hybrid models*. <https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-grsrldemplvc-08>
- Kittichat, C. (2024). Exploration of emerging trends and paradigms in leadership and governance research and practice in Thailand. *International Journal of Leadership and Governance*, 4(1), 13–30. <https://doi.org/10.47604/ijlg.2393>
- Koçak, R. D. (2026). Strategic leadership in the 21st century management climate. In *Strategic leadership* (pp. 293–310). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-6466-7.ch009>
- Kong, L. (2025). Federalism: A Comprehensive Review off its Evolution, Typologies, and Contemporary Issues. *Encyclopedia*, 5(4): 156. <https://doi.org/10.3390/encyclopedia5040156>.
- Kumeh, E. M., & Ramcilovic-Suominen, S. (2023). Is the EU shirking responsibility for its deforestation footprint in tropical countries? Power, material, and epistemic inequalities in the EU’s global environmental governance. *Sustainability Science*, 18(2), 599–618. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-023-01302-7>
- Kupangwa, W. (2024). The African philosophy of Ubuntu and family businesses: A perspective article. *Journal of Family Business Management*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jfbm-10-2023-0216>
- Laloo, E. (2022). Ubuntu leadership – An explication of an Afrocentric leadership style. *Journal of Values-Based Leadership*, 15(2). <https://doi.org/10.22543/1948-0733.1383>
- Lawani, I. (2025). Reframing AI: Strategic leadership for inclusive growth and innovation. *American Journal of Leadership and Governance*, 10(1), 73–90. <https://doi.org/10.47672/ajlg.2798>
- Lerutla, M., & Steyn, R. (2025). African business leadership and effectiveness: Perspectives from South African leaders. *Administrative Sciences*, 15(3), Article 83. <https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci15030083>
- Makhalima, M. C. (2026). African approaches to leadership development. In *Leadership development* (pp. 48–65). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781394365166.ch4>
- Makhanya, M. T. B. (2025). The impact of Ubuntu as an organisational value on public sector service delivery in South Africa. In *IntechOpen eBooks*. Intech Open. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1013774>
- Mangani, P., Khana, G. D. and Ahmad, N. (2025). Sustainable governance, conflict and environmental justice in critical mineral extraction in Latin America and Africa. *Peace and Sustainability*, 1, 1-12. <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.
- Mbugua, M. W. (2025). Innovative business leadership strategies for sustainable development in a dynamic world. *African Multidisciplinary Journal of Research*, 2(3), 84–100. <https://doi.org/10.71064/spu.amjr.2.3.2025.457>
- Mensah-Bonsu, K., Asante, K., Ofori, E. A., & Ampofo, A. S. (2023). *A theoretical framework for diagnosing structural challenges in Ghanaian enterprise, 2000–2026*. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18945251>
- Musekiwa, T., Masiya, T., & Lubinga, S. (2025). Integrating Ubuntu philosophy in South African legislation for managing public sector ethics. *International Journal of Public Policy and Administration Research*, 12(4), 260–280. <https://doi.org/10.18488/74.v12i4.4570>
- Mwambene, G., Kipanga, J., & Mwinyimkuu, N. (2002). *A theoretical framework for business diagnostics and governance in Tanzania: An African institutional perspective (2000–2026)*. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18946091>
- Nkobi, O. P., Chikezie, I. U., & Wazha, B. M. (2021). The theoretical analysis of ethical leadership lapses: A disturbing concern about school leadership in Botswana. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 16(8), 372–380. <https://doi.org/10.5897/err2021.4187>

- Nwankwo, S., & Richards, D. (2004). Institutional paradigm and the management of transitions. *International Journal of Social Economics*, 31(1/2), 111–130. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03068290410515457>.
- Ogwu, M. C. (2025). Sustainable Development in Africa: Challenges, Innovations, and Policy Pathways for the Future. *African Research Reports* 1(2):55-69. DOI: 10.65221/0005.
- Olaopa, O. R., & Ogundare, S. (2023). Traditional leadership, indigenous knowledge, and local governance: Implications for good governance and sustainable development agenda. In *IntechOpen eBooks*. IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1003144>
- Pérezts, M., Russon, J., & Painter-Morland, M. (2023). This time from Africa: Developing a relational approach to values-driven leadership. In *Issues in business ethics* (pp. 167–190). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-24445-2_8.
- Priester, M. A., Browne, T., Iachini, A., Clone, S., DeHart, D., & Seay, K. D. (2016). Treatment access barriers and disparities among individuals with co-occurring mental health and substance use disorders: an integrative literature review. *Journal of substance abuse treatment*, 61, 47-59. doi: 10.1016/j.jsat.2015.09.006.
- Russell, C. L. (2005). An overview of the integrative research review. *Progress in transplantation*, 15(1), 8-13. DOI: 10.1177/152692480501500102.
- Scott, W. R. (2014). *Institutions and organizations: Ideas, interests, and identities* (4th ed.). Sage.
- Seiko, Z. M. (2018). Theories and practices of sustainable development in Africa. In *Advances in electronic government, digital divide, and regional development* (pp. 1–20). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-1522-5324-7.ch001>
- Sipondo, A. (2025). Ubuntu ethical leadership in the African public sector: Conceptual proposals. *International Journal of Public Leadership*, 21(2), 140–160. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijpl-10-2024-0119>
- Uford, I. C., & Akpan, A. O. (2024). AI-Driven Social Media Marketing: Revolutionizing Marketing. In *Chapter Twenty-Seven: 5th Annual Conference Proceedings by Faculty of Management Sciences at Lagos State University (LASU)* (pp. 461-479).
- Uford, I. C. (2026). Navigating Market Access Challenges in Community-Based Pharmacy Business within Uyo Metropolis. *Journal of Economics, Innovative Management and Entrepreneurship*, 4(1).
- Uzoigwe, E. I. E. (2025). A philosophical reflection on leadership and development in Africa. *International Journal of Education Foundations*, 2(5), 369–385. <https://doi.org/10.33687/ijef.002.05.0061>